

Lansing votes questioned

Bravo to Dan Pace for speaking up for others in Lansing!

It is time to re-evaluate voting in Lansing. This is the third incident of this sort in recent years. Lansing needs to know that when voters vote down something, it means "no!"

A new town hall was voted down, but I see we have one, and the old one which was not "fit for humans" has been deemed good enough to house a library. Obviously, those in charge have little knowledge about libraries and books. Books need a constant temperature of 60-68 degrees, as well as a constant relative humidity of 40-50 percent. As a trained conservation liaison, I go to libraries and train people on the needs of libraries. The needs of books are much more stringent than the needs of humans.

When a meeting was held to discuss and vote on the placement of multi-family dwellings in an agricultural district, those who live there received the notice about the meeting three days after the meeting and vote were completed. Those who voted had no vested interest in the outcome.

Now we are expected to accept a vote, not correctly monitored, on the library referendum, which was already voted down in a correctly monitored vote by registered voters. This vote is inaccurate, so it should be thrown out. It's already been voted down by the taxpayers! And the taxpayers should be the ones voting on it! With the constant rises in costs, indiscriminate taxes cannot be absorbed by the taxpayers.

JOAN BRINK
FREEVILLE

ELISE GOLD
ONLINE MANAGER
egold@ithacajournal.com

Member of The
Associated Press and
Audit Bureau of
Circulations.

Itasca Journal